

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
WESTERN DIVISION

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NOV 18 PM 5:01
JULIA CITY CIVIL CLERK
[Signature]

DETHMERS MANUFACTURING
COMPANY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

No. C 96-4061-MWB

vs.

AUTOMATIC EQUIPMENT
MANUFACTURING COMPANY,

Defendant.

VERDICT FORM

On the claims of plaintiff Dethmers Manufacturing Company, Inc., against defendant Automatic Equipment Manufacturing Company, we, the Jury, find as follows:

CLAIM 1: BREACH OF CONTRACT

CLAIM	As explained in	
Breach of Contract	Final Jury Instruction No. 3	
	Do you find that plaintiff Dethmers Manufacturing Company has proved its claim of breach of contract by the greater weight of the evidence?	Yes _____ No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> _____
	If you answered "yes," in what way do you find that defendant Automatic entered into the contract?	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>_____</div> <div>a. Through Mr. Bachman, as Automatic's agent</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>_____</div> <div>b. Through silence or inaction</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>_____</div> <div>c. Both</div> </div>
	If you answered "yes," what, if any, damages do you award, as damages for breach of contract are explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 7?	\$ <u> - 0 - </u>

CLAIM 2: PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL

CLAIM	As explained in	
Promissory Estoppel	Final Jury Instruction No. 4	
	Do you find that plaintiff Dethmers Manufacturing Company has proved its claim of promissory estoppel by the greater weight of the evidence?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
	<i>If you answered "yes," what, if any, damages do you award, as damages for promissory estoppel are explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 7?</i>	\$ <u>239,242.32</u>

CLAIM 3: UNJUST ENRICHMENT

CLAIM	As explained in	
Unjust Enrichment	Final Jury Instruction No. 5	
	Do you find that plaintiff Dethmers Manufacturing Company has proved its claim of unjust enrichment by the greater weight of the evidence?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
	<i>If you answered "yes," what, if any, damages do you award, as damages for unjust enrichment are explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 7?</i>	\$ <u>239,242.32</u>

Date: 4/18/00Time: 4:20 PM

Copies sealed on 8-21-00
to maintain of record of pro se
parties as appears on the docket
sheet.


Deputy Clerk